

SAVE THE DATE!

Online Conference | November 17-18-19, 2023

BEYOND TRAUMA

Has Clinical Practice been Reduced to 'Psycho-Traumatology'?

Chairs: Susanna Federici, Gianni Francesetti, Michela Gecele, Gianni Nebbiosi



**The conference is accessible in the following languages:
English, Italian, Spanish, Ukrainian**

This conference is the outcome of dialogue and collaboration between two training institutes -**IPsiG and ISIPSE**- that intend to promote engagement and **debate between different clinical approaches** that, while building on their own specificities, consider it crucial to engage with other approaches that share the same fundamental principles and are thus a **source of mutual theoretical-clinical enrichment**.

Thinking about **trauma in clinical practice** seems to be particularly significant today. The relevance of the concept of trauma has shifted widely over the history of psychoanalysis and psychotherapy, from the central importance it held at the origin of the disciplines to then become a secondary construct for a long time. In recent decades, it has returned to the fore, becoming one of the most widely used **hermeneutic references both for the understanding of psychopathology and for the conceptualisation of therapeutic processes**. Its return to the forefront, however, arguably risks suffocating other visions, approaches, and working methodologies in the clinical sphere, oversimplifying the relationship between the **complexity of life and psychic suffering**.

The conference will explore the topic from a number of **different perspectives** -historical, cultural, psychopathological, clinical and considering the findings of recent Infant Research, with contributions from many international researchers and clinical practitioners, including Beatrice Beebe.

BEYOND TRAUMA

Has Clinical Practice been Reduced to 'Psycho-Traumatology'?

Through the conference we will explore various questions raised by this development:

- What has prompted contemporary clinical thinking to **place trauma** in such a central position again?
- Does this centrality derive from a more **widespread traumatic condition** (social, economic, political, climate, vision of the future) in which the contemporary world has come to find itself, or does it also depend on other underlying cultural and social forces, ways of decoding and defining experience, and visions of the human dimension that help shape the evolution of various psychotherapeutic approaches?
- What are the advantages and what is the point of this development, considering that it **risks becoming 'pan-traumatological'**?
- Are there clinical dimensions, both psychopathological and therapeutic, that the **centrality of trauma risks neglecting or obscuring**?
- Can trauma in itself be considered a psychopathological event or does it somehow express the very **condition of existence**?
- Is there any risk in considering **only the traumatic dimension** in clinical practice?
- Does the traumatic vision tend to divide the world too radically into **'traumatisers' and 'traumatised'**, unwittingly promoting a dichotomous mindset that is disinclined to see the complexity of what lies in between?
- What else should clinical practitioners consider **besides the trauma history** of the clients they work with?

In collaboration with



GestaltStudia



Interregional Institute of
Gestalt Therapy and Art



The event is organised by

Istituto di Psicopatologia e Psicoterapia
della Gestalt – IPSiG
Turin, Italy – www.ipsig.it

Istituto e Scuola di Specializzazione in
Psicologia Psicoanalitica del sé e
Psicoanalisi Relazionale ISIPSE'
Rome, Milan, Italy – www.isipse.it

**ENTRIES WILL SOON BE AVAILABLE AT
WWW.WOPSY.COM**